

























		Interpretatio QFT	on Critei -GIT Tes	ria foi st	r the		
0	Nil IU/mL)	TB Antigen minus Nil (IU/mL)	QFT-GIT (IU/mL)	Mitogen	Interpretation		
	$\leq 8.0$	$\leq$ 0.35 or $<$ 25% of Nil value	Negative	≥ 5.0	<i>M. tuberculosis</i> infection unlikely		
	≤ 8.0	$\geq 0.35$ and $\geq 25\%$ of Nil value	Positive	ANY	<i>M. tuberculosis</i> infection likely		
	≥ 8.0	ANY	Indeterminate	ANY	Indeterminate	_	
	≤ 8.0	$\leq$ 0.35 and or $<$ 25% of Nil value	Indeterminate	< 5.0	Indeterminate		
						-	



Qua TABLE 2. TI IN WHOLE-	EST SENSITIVIT BLOOD IFN-Y	TY AND SPECI	-TB C	<b>Fold</b> P-10 and esat	-6 AT VARIOUS C	UTOFFS	
Cutoff JEN-a	CFF	P-10	ESA	T-6	CFP-10 and/	or ESAT-6	be
 (IU/ml)	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	
0.05	92.5	81.4	94.8	94.9	89.4	97.5	
0.10	94.4	77.1	96.2	90.7	92.0	95.8	
0.15	95.8	72.9	97.6	88.1	93.9	93.2	
0.20	96.7	71.2	99.1	86.4	96.2	91.5	1.1
0.25	97.2	67.8	99.1	84.7	96.7	91.5	1 min 10
0.30	97.7	66.9	99.1	83.1	97.2	89.8	
0.35	98.6	65.3	99.5	81.4	98.1	89.0	
0.40	98.6	61.9	99.5	79.7	98.1	88.1	10 Mar.
0.45	98.6	60.2	100.0	78.8	98.6	86.4	
0.50	99.1	60.2	100.0	75.4	99.1	83.9	1.1
Sensitivity wa	as determined on t f data from 213 lo	he basis of data fro w-risk subjects. Tl	m 118 patients wit ne chosen cutoff (I	h culture-positive tul 0.35) is in boldface.	berculosis, and specific Mori et al 2004 Am J Re	ity was determined spir Crit Care Med , 170: 59–64	



		Interp for	oretation the T-S	on Crite Spot.TE	eria B	
	Result	Nil*	TB Response# #	Mitogen++	Interpretation+	
	Positive	≤ 10 spots	≥ 8 spots	Any	M.tuberculosis infection likely	
)	Borderline	≤ 10 spots	5, 6, or 7 spots	Any	Uncertain likelihood of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> infection	
	Negative	≤ 10spots	≤ 4 spots		M Tb infection unlikely	100 m H
	Indeterminate	> 10 ≤ 10	Any < 5 spots	Any < 20 spots	Uncertain likelihood of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> infection	
					·	





P	otential sources of variab results in	ility and t IGRAs	heir impact on	
	Potential source of variability <sup>b</sup>	QFT-GIT	T-SPOT	
	Manufacturing Between-lot variability	$\uparrow \downarrow$	?	Deren and
	Preanalytical Time of blood draw (a.m. vs p.m.) Skin disinfection Traumatic blood draw Blood vol (0.8 to 1.2 ml) Shaking of blood tubes T-cell and APC count Transportation temp Incubation delay	$\uparrow p.m.$ $\uparrow \downarrow$ ? $\downarrow 1.2 ml$ $\uparrow \downarrow$ ? $\downarrow = 30^{\circ}C$	$ \begin{array}{c} ?\\ ?\\ NA\\?\\ ?^{d}\\ \downarrow\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\ ?\\$	
	Incubation delay Incubation time Plasma separation delay Plasma/PBMC storage	No effect ? <sup>e</sup> No effect	? NA No effect	







	Groups with Increased Likeli- hood of Infection with Mtb	Benefit of Therapy		LTBI Testing Strategy		
Risk of Infection	Household contact or recent expo- sure of an active case Mycobacteriology laboratory personnel Immigrants from high burden countries (-20 / 100,000) Residents and employees of high risk concreate settings	Yes Not demonstrated Not demonstrated Yes	Likely to be Infecte Low to Intermediat (TST ≥ 10mM)	ed te Risk of Progression	Likely to be Infected High Risk of Pro- gression (TST ≥ 5mM)	) In the second
	None	Not demonstrated	Unlikely to be Infe (TST > 15mM)	cted		Bern Street
			Risk	of Developing Tuberculosis if	Infected	
)			Low	Intermediate (RR 1.3 -3)	High (RR 3-10)	
			No risk factors	Clinical predisposition Diabetes Chronic renal failure Intravenous drug use	Children age less than 5 HIV infection Immunosuppres- sive therapy Abnormal CXR consistent with prior TB Silicosis	
				Benefit of Therapy		
			No	ot demonstrated	Yes	12
	In developing a diagnostic approach hood of infection, the likelihood of p Clinical practice. Latent tuberculosi mendations were formulated for each for the interpretation of the TST (24 Society. MMWR Recomm Rep 49:1	h for the evaluation of rogression to TB if in s infection in the Uni h of the three groups il 000. Targeted tubercu -51).	f those with suspected fected, and the benefit ted States. The New I llustrated above. Thes lin testing and treatme	I LTBI, we recommend the cli of therapy (Horsburgh, C.R., Jr England journal of medicine 36 e groups are concordant with cu ent of latent tuberculosis infecti	nician weigh the likeli- r., and E.J. Rubin. 2011. 54:1441-1448). Recom- irrent recommendations ion. American Thoracic	

	Group	Testing Strategy	Considerations	
	Likely to be Infected High Risk of Progression (TST ≥ 5mM)	Adults Acceptable: IGRA OR TST Consider dual testing where a positive result from either result would be considered <b>positive</b> Children ≤ 5 years of age Preferred: TST Acceptable: IGRA OR TST Consider dual testing where a positive result from either would be considered <b>positive</b> <sup>1</sup>	Prevalence of BCG vaccination Expertise of staff and/or labora-	Jon .
4-	Likely to be Infected Low to Intermediate Risk of Progression (TST ≥ 10mM)	Preferred: IGRA where available Acceptable: IGRA or TST	tory Test availability Patient perceptions Staff perceptions	
	Unlikely to be Infected (TST > 15mM)	Testing for LTBI is not recommended If necessary: Preferred: IGRA where available. Acceptable: Either IGRA OR TST For serial testing: Acceptable: Either IGRA OR TST Consider repeat or dual testing where a nega- tive result from either would be considered negative <sup>2</sup>	Programmatic concerns	100000
	<ol> <li>Performing a second diagnostic test when the i decided that this is an acceptable tradeoff in sit from therapy) exceed the consequences of inap 2. Performing a confirmatory test following an in individual when are negligible to be informed and</li> </ol>	nitial test is negative is a strategy to increase sensitivity. In autions in which the consequences of missing LTBI (i.e., propriate therapy (i.e., hepatotoxicity). hitial positive result is based upon both the evidence that the based where a the summing the sense that the strategy of the sense that the sense the sense that the sense the sense the sense that the sense the sense the sense the sense the sense the sense that the sense the s	This may reduce specificity, but the panel not treating individuals who may benefit false-positive results are common among	













TARIE 2 T	EST SENSITIVI			P-10 AND ESAT		UTOES
IN WHOLE-	BLOOD IFN-γ	ASSAY	FIGHT FOR CF	FIT AND LIAT		.010775
Cutoff, IFN-v	CFI	P-10	ESA	T-6	CFP-10 and/	or ESAT-6
(IU/ml)	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Sensitivity (%)
0.05	92.5	81.4	94.8	94.9	89.4	97.5
0.10	94.4	77.1	96.2	90.7	92.0	95.8
0.15	95.8	72.9	97.6	88.1	93.9	93.2
0.20	96.7	71.2	99.1	86.4	96.2	91.5
0.25	97.2	67.8	99.1	84.7	96.7	91.5
0.30	97.7	66.9	99.1	83.1	97.2	89.8
0.35	98.6	65.3	99.5	81.4	98.1	89.0
0.40	98.6	61.9	99.5	79.7	98.1	88.1
0.45	98.6	60.2	100.0	78.8	98.6	86.4
	00.1	60.2	100.0	75.4	99.1	83.9























	Yes! You can X-ray a pregnant patient!								
	Obstetricians and Gy WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE THE	inecologists ISICANS		Type of Examination	Fetal Dose* (mGy)				
	ACCOG COL Autor 72 • Crate 701 Control Color C	COMMUNITEEE ( Department) Department Set of Sector 2014 Set of Sector 2014 Sector 2014 Sect	COMPARIANCE AND A COMPARIANCE	Very low-dose examinations (<0.1 mGy) Cervical spine radiography (anteroposterior and lateral views) Head or neck CT Radiography of any extremity Mammography (two views) Chest radiography (two views) Low- to moderate-dose examinations (0.1–10 mGy) Radiography Abdominal radiography Lumbar spine radiography Intravenous pyelography Double-contrast bartium enema	<0.001 0.001-0.01 <0.001 0.001-0.01 0.005-0.01 0.1-3.0 1.0-10 5-10 1.0-20				
	Gestational Period	Death of embruin or no consequence	50-100 mGy	CT	1.0-20				
	(0-2 weeks after fertilization)	(all or none)		Chest CT or CT pulmonary angiography	0.01-0.66				
	organogenesis (2–8 weeks after fertilization)	congenital anomalies (skeleton, eyes, genitals)	200 mGy	Limited CT pelvimetry (single axial section through the femoral heads)	<1	1			
	Fotal pariod	Growth restriction	200-250 mGy	Nuclear medicine		1.1			
	8–15 weeks	Severe intellectual disability (binh risk) <sup>1</sup>	60-310 mGy	Low-dose perfusion scintigraphy	0.1-0.5	12			
		Intellectual deficit	25 IQ-point loss per 1,000 mGy	reconetium-sym bone scintigraphy	4-5 0.5				
	16-25 weeks	Microcephaly Severe intellectual disability (low risk)	200 mGy 250280 mGy*	Higher does examinations (10, 50 mGu)	0.0				
	*Data based on results of animal studi exposed to radiation for medical reaso *Because this is a period of rapid neum Modified from Patel SJ, Reede DL, Kat algorithms and radiation dose consider	es, epidemiologic studies of survivors of the atomic b ns (eg. radiation therapy for carcinoma of the uterus), snal development and migration. 2 DS, Subramaniam R, Amorosa JK, Imaging the prog ations. Radiographics 2007;27:1705–22.	ombings in Japan, and studies of groups	Abdominal CT Pelvic CT <sup>18</sup> F PET/CT whole-body scintigraphy	1.3–35 10–50 10–50	Ż			























